UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:		
Raymond F. Hanisco	,	Chapter 7
	Debtor.	BK 12-46203
Daniel M. McDermo	tt, United States Trustee,	
	Plaintiff,	Adv. No
VS.		
		COMPLAINT FOR
Raymond F. Hanisco) ,	DENIAL OF DISCHARGE
	Defendant.	

Plaintiff, Daniel M. McDermott, United States Trustee, for his complaint against Raymond F. Hanisco, defendant, states and alleges as follows:

- Daniel M. McDermott is the United States Trustee for Region 12, which covers the Federal Judicial Districts of Iowa (Northern & Southern Districts), Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota. The United States Trustee has standing to commence this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 586(a) and 11 U.S.C. § 727(c)(1).
- 2. The defendant is a resident of the State of Minnesota and filed his petition for relief under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on October 30, 2012. The case is currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Minnesota. Brian Leonard was appointed as the chapter 7 trustee. The United States Trustee conducted an examination of the defendant

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 14

pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004 on March 21, 2013.

- 3. This complaint is filed under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001(4) and seeks an order denying the defendant's discharge in his bankruptcy case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(2)(A), (a)(3), (a)(4)(A), and (a)(5).
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This proceeding is a core proceeding.
- 5. In 2009, the defendant was employed by a company called Twin Cities Gold & Silver Exchange, LLC ("Twin Cities Gold"). The defendant left Twin Cities Gold and incorporated an entity called Guardian Gold & Silver Exchange, LLC ("Guardian") on September 28, 2009. The defendant was the sole member of Guardian, its registered agent, and its president. Schaun Waste was the vice-president of Guardian, and Patrick Robinson was general manager. Guardian operated a business that conducted transactions with customers around the country. Specifically, Guardian solicited customers to purchase gold and coins from Guardian ("Purchase Transactions"). Guardian also marketed to and solicited consumers to sell their gold and silver coins to Guardian ("Liquidation Transactions"). Finally, Guardian solicited customers to trade their gold or coins for other metals or coins ("Trade Transactions").
- 6. The defendant incorporated an entity called Wealth Preservation Society, LLC ("WPS") on September 30, 2009. The defendant was the sole member of WPS and its president. Schaun Waste was the vice-president of WPS. Guardian and WPS operated out of the same facility, and funds were transferred between Guardian, WPS, the defendant, and the defendant's girlfriend, Margaret Huskey, without regard to any corporate formalities. The defendant claims that he, Ms. Huskey, and Guardian provided funds to WPS, but the defendant did not maintain any documents to verify any of the investments. According to the defendant's testimony at his Rule 2004

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 14

examination, WPS had an agreement with Double D Trading to invest in a gold ore tailings venture and share the profits from the venture. The defendant testified that Double D Trading was an entity owned and managed by an individual named Mark Dagel. The venture involved Double D Trading obtaining a leasehold interest in a gold mine site and processing gold ore tailings for the purpose of extracting precious metals from the tailings to sell for a profit. The defendant also testified that Ms. Huskey had an arrangement with the defendant, Guardian, WPS, and Double D Trading that required her to invest her personal funds with Double D Trading. The agreement further provided that Ms. Huskey would receive her investment and half of the profit generated by the investments back from Double D Trading. The other half of the profit generated by her investments would be gifted by Ms. Huskey to Guardian, and Guardian would use the funds to pay its creditors. Guardian, however, never made any such payments to its creditors.

- 7. Dr. Joan Balcombe, a creditor of the defendant's, sent approximately \$290,000.00 in coins to the defendant in January and February of 2012. Dr. Balcombe understood that the defendant would invest the funds generated from the liquidation of her coin collection in the WPS ore tailings venture. The defendant testified at his Rule 2004 examination that he liquidated the coins and invested the funds in WPS. The defendant testified that the funds he received from liquidating Ms. Balcombe's coins were deposited into Guardian's M&I bank account, transferred to WPS's bank account, and then transferred to Double D Trading. The defendant, however, has not produced any documents to demonstrate that the funds were ever deposited in Guardian's M&I bank account, transferred to WPS, and then transferred to Double D Trading. For example, the defendant has not produced any bank statements for WPS despite multiple requests by the United States Trustee.
- 8. Guardian structured its Purchase Transactions as follows. A customer contacted

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 14

Guardian to purchase a specific item. Guardian did not maintain an inventory of coins, gold, or silver. Instead, it purchased inventory from a third party vendor. Upon receiving a request from a customer, Guardian would contact its third party vendors and advise the customer of the price to the customer to purchase the requested items. The price that Guardian provided to the customer was not the same as the amount that Guardian would pay to the third party vendor. Instead, the price that Guardian provided to the customer would exceed the amount that Guardian would pay to the third party vendor, and Guardian would retain the difference as profit. If the customer agreed to the Purchase Transaction, the customer would provide the funds to Guardian. The funds would be deposited into Guardian's bank account. Guardian would use a portion of the funds to purchase the item, retain its profit margin, and ship the items to the customer. Typically, the items would be purchased by the defendant, Schaun Waste, or Patrick Robinson.

- 9. Guardian structured its Liquidation Transactions as follows. Guardian requested an inventory of a customer's items to be liquidated. Based on the inventory, Guardian provided an estimate of the liquidation value of the items to the customer. Again, the estimate included a discount to account for Guardian's profit margin on each transaction. If the customer agreed to the Liquidation Transaction, the inventory was provided to Guardian. Guardian then utilized a third party to liquidate the items. When Guardian obtained the funds realized from the liquidation of the items, it retained its profit, and then sent a check for the difference to the customer.
- 10. In the fall of 2009, Guardian settled a lawsuit filed by Twin Cities Gold for an amount between \$30,000.00 and \$35,000.00. Guardian used funds that it received from customers to pay a \$25,000.00 down payment in 2010, and it paid the outstanding amounts on a monthly basis. Guardian also used funds to pay attorney fees that it incurred in connection with the lawsuit.
- 11. October 29, 2010, Asset Marketing Services, Inc. filed a lawsuit against Guardian, the

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 14

defendant, and a number of other individuals associated with Guardian. Judgment in the amount of \$122,376.04 was entered against the defendant and Guardian on September 17, 2012.

- 12. On January 20, 2011, Twin Cities Gold filed another lawsuit against Guardian. Guardian and the defendant entered into a settlement on February 10, 2012. Judgment in the amount of \$67,565.35 was entered against the defendant and Guardian on August 7, 2012. Guardian and Hanisco agreed to make a down payment on the judgment, and monthly payments to satisfy the remainder of the judgment. During the course of the litigation, Guardian and Hanisco incurred attorney fees of approximately \$81,000.00.
- 13. In connection with the Purchase Transactions, the defendant represented to customers that they could send funds to Guardian, and that the funds would be used to purchase coins or other precious metals. The defendant also caused employees or independent contractors working for Guardian to make such representations. At the time that the representations were made, the defendant knew that Guardian was insolvent and could not afford to pay its attorney fees and lawsuit settlement payments. The defendant also knew that he would use some or all of the customer funds that he solicited to pay attorney fees, lawsuit settlement payments, or to invest in an entity that he owned called Wealth Preservation Society. The defendant was the only signatory on bank accounts owned by Guardian. He personally directed that funds provided by customers for the purchase of items would be used instead to pay attorney fees, lawsuit settlement payments, or to invest in Wealth Preservation Society. In those instances, Guardian did not use the funds sent by customers to purchase the items that Guardian or the defendant previously represented it would purchase on behalf of its customers.
- 14. For example, on February 17, 2011, Edwina Hibel sent Guardian \$237,000.00 to purchase gold coins. The defendant testified that some of the funds were sent to an entity called Higher

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 14

Ground Financial Services ("Higher Ground") to purchase the coins. The defendant testified that Higher Ground never sent the coins to Guardian. The books and records of Guardian, however, indicate that Guardian wired \$163,959.00 from its account to WPS's bank account on February 17, 2011. On the same day, WPS transferred \$30,000.00 to Guardian and marked the entry in its books as "owner salary." The following day, \$123.510.00 was wired out of the WPS account to an unknown recipient. According to the defendant, there were no written agreements between himself, Guardian, or WPS regarding any of these transactions.

- 15. In connection with the Trade Transactions, the defendant, through Guardian, represented to Eleanor Dubey, a customer, that she could trade her collection of gold coins to the defendant in return for \$274,750.00 in gold ingots. Ms. Dubey sent the gold coins to Guardian, and Guardian liquidated the coins for \$337,805.00 on May 9, 2011. The funds were wired into Guardian's bank account, and Ms. Dubey never received the gold ingots. The defendant has not explained the disposition of the \$337,805.00, but he admits that the funds were used for a purpose other than paying Ms. Dubey. The defendant also admitted that, without the funds realized from the liquidation of Ms. Dubey's gold coins, Guardian was insolvent.
- 16. In addition to Ms. Huskey and DD Trading, the defendant also solicited Dr. Joan Balcombe to invest in WPS in early 2012. The defendant claims that Ms. Balcombe agreed to send her coin collection for liquidation by the defendant. He also claims that she agreed to invest the proceeds in WPS. Dr. Balcombe did, in fact, provide the coins to the defendant, and they were liquidated for over \$290,000.00. The defendant claims that the funds were routed to either Guardian or WPS's bank account, and the funds then were transferred to DD Trading. The defendant, however, has no record of the disposition of the funds. The defendant was the only signatory on bank accounts owned by WPS.

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 14

- 17. The United States Trustee conducted an examination of the defendant pursuant to Fed. R.
- Bankr. P. 2004 on March 21, 2013. The United States Trustee adjourned the examination to a later date and requested a number of additional documents, including the following:
- a. Bank statements for a Guardian bank account with an account number ending in 4056 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- b. Bank statements for a WPS bank account with an account number ending in 4078 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- c. Bank statements for a Wells Fargo bank account with an account number ending in 4801 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- d. Bank statements for the defendant's Wings Financial Credit Union bank account for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- e. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an account number ending in 9342 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- f. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "Equity Line GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- g. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "savings GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- h. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "checking GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- i. Settlement agreements between Twin Cities Gold & Silver Exchange and the defendant and/or Guardian.
- j. Settlement agreements between Asset Marketing Services and the defendant and/or Guardian.
- k. Signed, written agreements between Double D Trading and WPS and/or Guardian and/or the defendant.
- 1. Signed, written agreements between Double D Trading and Margaret Huskey.
- m. Signed, written agreements between Balcombe and Wealth Preservation Society and/or Double D Trading.

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 14

- n. Signed, written agreements between Higher Ground Financial and Guardian Gold and/or the defendant.
- o. A general ledger or checkbook register for all of the defendant's personal bank accounts, covering the period of time between October 30, 2010 and the present.

The United States Trustee also requested that the examination be reconvened. The defendant did not provide the documents referenced above, and he has not responded to the request to reconvene the examination.

COUNT I

TRANSFER OR CONCEALMENT OF PROPERTY OF THE DEBTOR WITH INTENT TO HINDER, DELAY, OR DEFRAUD A CREDITOR WITHIN ONE YEAR BEFORE THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THE PETITION

- 18. The plaintiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1-15 as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. The defendant filed a voluntary petition on October 30, 2012.
- 20. The defendant transferred \$163,959.00 from Guardian's Bank account to WPS's bank account on February 17, 2011. As set forth below, Guardian and WPS were alter egos for the defendant, and therefore the funds were property of the debtor. The funds were diverted to either the defendant or WPS and used for the defendant's personal purposes. Prior to the transfer of the funds, the defendant or Guardian represented to Edwina Hibel that the funds she provided to Guardian would be used to purchase gold coins. The defendant had no intention of using the funds to purchase gold coins for Ms. Hibel, and therefore the representations were fraudulent. The defendant transferred the funds with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud his creditors, including Ms. Hibel. The defendant continued to conceal the funds from Ms. Hibel within one year of the filing of his bankruptcy petition.
- 21. The defendant transferred \$337,805.00 from Guardian's Bank account to unknown recipients at some time after receipt of the funds from Ms. Dubey on May 9, 2011. As set forth

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 14

below, Guardian and WPS were alter egos for the defendant, and therefore the funds were property of the debtor. Prior to the transfer of the funds, the defendant or Guardian represented to Ms. Dubey that Guardian would liquidate her gold coins and use the proceeds to purchase gold ingots, which would be shipped to Ms. Dubey. The defendant had no intention of purchasing the gold ingots for Ms. Dubey, and therefore the representations were fraudulent. The defendant transferred the funds with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud his creditors, including Ms. Dubey. The defendant continued to conceal the funds from Ms. Dubey within one year of the filing of his bankruptcy petition.

- 22. The defendant transferred at least \$290,000.00 from Guardian's bank account or WPS's bank account to unknown recipients at some time after receipt of the funds from the liquidation of Dr. Balcombe's coin collection. As set forth below, Guardian and WPS were alter egos for the defendant, and therefore the funds were property of the debtor. Prior to the transfer of the funds, the defendant represented to Dr. Balcombe that WPS would invest the funds and return the investment to Dr. Balcombe with interest. The defendant had no intention of returning the investment to Dr. Balcombe, and therefore the representations were fraudulent. The defendant transferred the funds with the intent to hinder, delay or defraud his creditors, including Dr. Balcombe. The defendant transferred the funds within one year of the filing of his bankruptcy petition.
- 23. Guardian and WPS were alter egos for the defendant. Neither entity was sufficiently capitalized for the purposes of their respective corporate undertakings. Corporate formalities were not followed when funds were transferred between the defendant, Guardian, and WPS. Guardian and WPS were insolvent when the funds of customers were diverted as discussed in Paragraphs 14 through 15. The funds were siphoned by the defendant, who was the sole member

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 14

of both Guardian and WPS, for the defendant's personal use. Neither corporate entity maintained adequate corporate records. In addition, the defendant operated Guardian and WPS as a constructive fraud and in an unjust manner, as described in Paragraphs 14 through 15. As a result, the Court should pierce the corporate veil as to Guardian and WPS, and should treat the transfers as property of the debtor rather than as property of Guardian or WPS.

COUNT II

FAILURE TO KEEP OR PRESERVE ANY RECORDED INFORMATION FROM WHICH THE DEBTOR'S FINANCIAL CONDITION OR BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS MIGHT BE ASCERTAINED

- 24. Plantiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1-23 as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. The defendant failed to keep or preserve recorded information related to the business transactions of Guardian and WPS. Specifically, the defendant has not kept or preserved the following documents:
- a. Bank statements for a Guardian bank account with an account number ending in 4056 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- b. Bank statements for a WPS bank account with an account number ending in 4078 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- c. Bank statements for a Wells Fargo bank account with an account number ending in 4801 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- d. Bank statements for the defendant's Wings Financial Credit Union bank account for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- e. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an account number ending in 9342 for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- f. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "Equity Line GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.

- g. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "savings GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- h. Bank statements for a Guardian Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "checking GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account) for the period of time between October 2010 and the present.
- i. Settlement agreements between Twin Cities Gold & Silver Exchange and the defendant and/or Guardian.
- j. Settlement agreements between Asset Marketing Services and the defendant and/or Guardian.
- k. Signed, written agreements between Double D Trading and WPS and/or Guardian and/or the defendant.
- 1. Signed, written agreements between Double D Trading and Margaret Huskey.
- m. Signed, written agreements between Dr. Balcombe and Wealth Preservation Society and/or Double D Trading.
- n. Signed, written agreements between Higher Ground Financial and Guardian Gold and/or the defendant.
- o. A general ledger or checkbook register for all of the defendant's personal bank accounts, covering the period of time between October 30, 2010 and the present.
- 26. The failure to keep or preserve the documents referenced in Paragraph 25 makes it impossible to ascertain the defendant's business transactions and financial condition.
- 27. The defendant's failure to keep or preserve recorded information, including bank statements, is not justified under all of the circumstances of this case.
- 28. As a result of the defendant's conduct, plaintiff is entitled to an order denying the defendants' discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(3).

COUNT III FALSE OATHS IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE CASE

- 29. Plaintiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1-28 as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. The defendant knowingly and fraudulently made false oaths at the Rule 2004 examination

by failing to disclose three bank accounts that belonged to Guardian, namely: (1) a Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "Equity Line GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account); (2) a Wells Fargo bank account with an unknown bank account number (referenced as "savings GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account number (referenced as "checking GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account number (referenced as "checking GGSE" in the defendant's personal Wells Fargo bank account).

- 31. The defendant knowingly and fraudulently made false oaths at the Rule 2004 examination by testifying that he never diverted funds from Guardian's customers to invest in WPS.
- 32. As set forth in Paragraph 23 above, Guardian was the alter ego of the defendant, and the defendant's false oaths set forth in Paragraphs 30 and 31 were material omissions regarding the defendant's property and property of the bankruptcy estate.
- 33. As a result of the defendant's false oaths, the plaintiff is entitled to an order denying the defendant's discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4)(A).

COUNT IV FAILURE TO EXPLAIN SATISFACTORILY LOSS OF ASSETS

- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges Paragraphs 1-33 as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. As set forth in Paragraph 23 above, Guardian and WPS were alter egos of the defendant.
- 36. The defendant, through Guardian and WPS, received funds of at least \$163,959.00 from Edwina Hibel on February 17, 2011.
- 37. The defendant, through Guardian and WPS, received and liquidated gold coins with a value of \$337,805.00 from Edwina Hibel on May 9, 2011.
- 38. The defendant, through Guardian and WPS, received and liquidated coins with a value of at least \$290,000.00 during January and February of 2012 from Dr. Joan Balcombe.

Case 13-04202 Doc 1 Filed 07/29/13 Entered 07/29/13 09:36:57 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 14

39. The defendant maintains that he did not have any of the funds referenced in Paragraphs 34

through 36 when he filed his bankruptcy petition.

40. The defendant has not provided a satisfactory explanation for the loss of the funds

referenced in Paragraphs 36-39.

41. As a result of the defendant's failure to provide a satisfactory explanation for the loss of the

funds referenced in Paragraphs 34-36, the plaintiff is entitled to an order denying the defendant's

discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(5).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests that this Court enter an order and judgment denying

the defendant's discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(2)(A), (a)(3), (a)(4)(A), and (a)(5), together

with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: July 29, 2013 DANIEL M. MCDERMOTT

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

REGION 12

BY: /e/ Colin Kreuziger

Colin Kreuziger

Trial Attorney

Office of U.S. Trustee 1015 U.S. Courthouse

300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Willingapons, Will 3341.

TELE: (612) 334-1350 FAX: (612) 335-4032

1 AA. (012) 333-4032

MN Atty. No. 0386834

13

VERIFICATION

I, Colin Kreuziger, a trial attorney for the United States Trustee, the Plaintiff herein, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed on July 29, 2013

/e/ Colin Kreuziger Colin Kreuziger Trial Attorney MN Atty No. 0386834 Office of U.S. Trustee 1015 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street Minneapolis, MN 55415 TELE: (612) 334-1350